

Task 4 - Reef-top Sand Fields of Maui, Kauai and Oahu

Kihei, Kahului, Poipu, Kikiaola, Waikiki, Kailua, Lanikai and Waimanalo



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Honolulu Engineering District
University of Hawaii at Manoa, School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology



Index	Page
Introduction	1
Geologic Framework of Sand Bodies	1
Methodology	2
Errors and Uncertainties	2
Field Visits	3
Results	3
Discussion	8
Conclusions	8
References	8
Bibliography	8
Figures	
Figure 1 - Maui Study Sites	1
Figure 2 - Kauai Study Sites	1
Figure 3 - Oahu Study Sites	1
Figure 4 - Reef-top sand fields located at Kihei, Maui.	4
Figure 5 - Reef-top sand fields located at Kahului, Maui.	5
Figure 6 - Reef-top sand fields located at Poipu, Kauai.	6
Figure 7 - Reef-top sand fields located at Kikiaola, Kauai.	7

Introduction

Beaches are critical to Hawai'i lifestyle, culture, and economy. Coastal erosion threatens beaches but sediment management offers potential tools to mitigate the problem. Offshore sand fields have been used as a resource to replenish Hawai'i's eroding beaches – specifically in Waikiki.

The purpose of this research is to identify stable, shallow water (reef top) sand fields in four locations and determine their surface areas. The field sites are Kihei and the north shore of Maui (Fig. 1), Poipu and Kikiaola on the south coast of Kauai (Fig. 2), Waikiki and Mamala Bay on the south shore and Kailua, Lanikai and Waimanalo on the east side of Oahu (Fig. 3).

Geologic Framework of Sand Bodies

Shallow, reef top sand fields are the accumulation of carbonate sediment in topographic depressions upon the shallow reef (Bochicchio et al. 2009). These accumulations are typically thin and are classified as channels, fields, or patches. Biologic production, temporary and permanent storage, and loss (including




Figure 1. The red boxes indicate the two study sites on Maui: Kihei and Kahului




Figure 2. The red boxes indicate the two study sites on Kauai: Poipu and Kikiaola



Figure 3. The red boxes indicate the four study sites on Oahu: Waikiki, Kailua, Lanikai and Waimanalo.

offshore transport, bioerosion, dissolution, and abrasion) are the processes responsible for the existence of carbonate sands. The area and distribution of sand fields are determined by biologic productivity, water quality, wave energy, and storage space (Fletcher et al. 2008). Reef accretion due to rising sea level and subaerial exposure due to falling sea level also impact the area of storage available for sand.

Sand stored on reefs is mobile and may be transported seaward, landward, or captured by voids and interstices within the reef. Much of the sand within sand fields is stored temporarily; thus, the distribution and area of sand fields changes over time. Sand fields that undergo significant changes in surface area are more likely to consist of ephemeral, thin accumulations (and thus represent poor targets as borrow sites) compared to those that are stable over the same period. Stable sand fields are bodies of sand that have retained the same configuration over time, for example several decades. Ephemeral sand fields are bodies of sand that change configuration.

For this research, both stable and ephemeral sand fields were identified using historical and modern aerial photography with a clear view of the shallow seafloor. We assume that stable sand fields offer the best opportunities for continued investigation as resources, such as by jet probing or other methods to determine volume.

Methodology

High-resolution orthophotomosaics of the four research targets were selected to examine reef-top sand field extent. The photomosaics were chosen based on their date, the area of coverage, the amount of surface glint and cloud cover, water column clarity used for each year of coverage. Photomosaics from 1960 were used as the historical coverage, and mosaics from 2002 were used as the modern coverage (no examples shown).

1. Kihei, Maui - Kamaole Beach Park to Kealia Pond. Mosaics from 1949 and 1975 were analyzed, but not used for historic coverage because of overall poor visibility of the seafloor. Therefore, photomosaics from 1960 and 1997 were used as historical coverage, and a 2007 mosaic was used as the modern coverage.

2. Kahului, Maui - Kahului Harbor to Hookipa Park. For this study area, five mosaics (Kahului Harbor, Kanaha, Spreckelsville, Baldwin Park, and Kuau) were used for each year of coverage. Photomosaics from 1975 were used as the historical coverage, and mosaics from 2002 were used as the modern coverage.

3. Poipu, Kauai – Shipwreck Beach to Lawai Bay. Mosaics from 1999, 1992, 1988, 1982, 1960, 1950, and 1928 were analyzed, but not used for historic coverage because of incomplete coverage and/or poor visibility of the seafloor. A 1975 mosaic was used as historical coverage, and a 2007 mosaic was used as modern coverage.

4. Kikiaola, Kauai – Waimea to Kekaha Beach Park. For this study area, two mosaics (one of Waimea and one of Kekaha) were used for each year. Photomosaics from 1950 and 1987 were used as historical coverage. Mosaics from 2006 were used as the modern coverage. Several other years of mosaics were available, but were not analyzed due to poor water conditions because of suspended sediment from Waimea River. The mosaics that were chosen for this study had the best seafloor viewing conditions.

ArcGIS 9 was used for this research. Each photomosaic was imported into ArcGIS as a TIFF image file and used as a base map. To increase the visual contrast of the photomosaics, a standard deviation stretch was applied to each image. This made the sand easier to distinguish from other material, such as coral reef, reef rubble, limestone pavement, or volcanic pavement. Any continuous sandy area consisting mainly of sand with very little to no alternate material present was classified as a sand field. All visible sand fields were digitized manually for each mosaic using ArcMap. This was done by manually tracing each sand field using individual vectors. Once an entire sand field was traced, a polygon was created. With all of the sand fields digitized as polygons, ArcToolbox was used to determine the overlapping extent of historic and modern sand fields, which represents stable sand fields. Lastly, the surface areas of the ephemeral and non-ephemeral sand fields were calculated using ArcMap.

Errors and Uncertainties

The resolution of the photomosaics produces an uncertainty of 0.5 m (the pixel size) for all of the imagery. There are image quality and spatial uncertainties

associated with the ortho-rectification of the photographs. The rectification errors for the Kihei 1960, 1997, and 2007 mosaics are ± 0.67 m, ± 0.73 m, and ± 0.66 m, respectively. The rectification errors for the Poipu 1975 and 2007 mosaics are ± 1.25 m and ± 0.73 m, respectively. The rectification errors for the Kekaha (Kikiaola) 1950, 1987, and 2006 mosaics are ± 1.28 m, ± 0.75 m, and ± 0.75 m, respectively. The rectification errors for the Waimea (Kikiaola) 1950, 1987, and 2006 mosaics are ± 1.99 m, ± 1.27 m, and ± 0.78 m, respectively. Uncertainty is also associated with digitizing the images. To determine the error in m^2 due to the digitization process, one large sand field and one small sand field from the 2007 Kihei base map were each manually digitized 30 times. The total area of each polygon was calculated, and standard deviations were determined for the small and large sand fields. The error associated with the digitization of small sand fields is ± 25 m^2 , and the error associated with the digitization of large sand fields is ± 137 m^2 . Overall, digitization produces a Root Mean Square Error of ± 139 m^2 . The RMS error represents 0.25% of the total area of stable sand identified.

Field Visits

Ground-truthing was performed in Poipu, Kauai to investigate possible sand resources. The areas of interest lay offshore of Brennecke Beach and Koloa Landing (Hanaka'ape Bay). In the 2007 imagery, the depth of the water in both of the areas made it difficult to identify the composition of the seafloor. However, the color was slightly lighter, which suggested it was sand. Researchers swam about 250 m out from Koloa Landing to the presumed sand field. Some coarse sand was present in a channel leading out from shore, however this was an insignificant amount. From there, researchers swam west about 100 m. The sand field did not continue west as expected. The composition was mainly reef rubble and rock. It was concluded that the area off of Koloa Landing is not a viable resource for beach nourishment.

In addition, researchers swam out about 300 m from Brennecke Beach to the area of interest. The entire distance contained medium-grained sand. This sand field continued about 300 m west and ended before the tombolo where the rock shelf extends to the shore of Poipu Beach. This is a very large sand field that appears to be an excellent resource.

Visual assessment of Poipu Beach and Bay reveals that the mouth of the eastern

bay is blocked by a shallow sill of less than 1 m depth. This prevents sand from entering the bay and renourishing losses due to currents carrying sand into the western bay. The offshore sand field immediately adjacent to the eastern bay appears to be a strong candidate for further investigation. Jet probing, the next likely step, should reveal whether the sand field has potential as a resource. It is recommended that the portion of the field closest to Poipu be targeted for use. This would likely eliminate any potential impacts to Brennecke Beach due to sand removal.

Results

Sandy area with no overlap between historic and modern coverage indicates that sand has been transported during the years of coverage. This sand is ephemeral, and it is not likely to be found in significant volume to be useful as a resource for beach nourishment. In contrast, any area of sand that is unchanging between historic and modern coverage represents non-ephemeral (stable) sand and is a potential target for further investigation as a resource for beach nourishment.

1. Kihei, Maui – A total of 521,034 m^2 of modern reef-top sand was identified along the Kihei coast (Fig. 4). Of this sand, 55,821 m^2 is stable reef-top sand. The largest non-ephemeral sand field has a surface area of 10,295 m^2 , serving as a potential reservoir to replenish beaches. This sand field is located off of Kalama Beach Park. The next largest sand field is located off of Waipuilani Park and consists of 9,115 m^2 of stable sand.
2. North Shore, Maui – A total of 93,927 m^2 of modern reef-top sand was identified along the north shore of Maui (Fig. 11). Of this sand, about a third (31,656 m^2) is stable reef-top sand. The largest stable sand field has a surface area of 11,027 m^2 and is located just outside of Kahului Harbor (on the east side) in a channel leading out from the shore. In comparison to the other study areas, the north shore of Maui has the fewest number of stable sand fields and the smallest total area of stable sand. All of the stable sand fields identified are either small patches or channels, as opposed to large fields. However, it is possible that there is more stable sand along the north shore of Maui than estimated. This is because the imagery does not extend very far offshore. In some places, such as Kahului Harbor, the imagery only extends 600 m from the shore. In addition, there are several areas where turbidity of the water

Kihei Reef-top Sand Fields

Legend

- Ephemeral sand - historic
- Ephemeral sand - modern
- Non-ephemeral sand fields




Figure 4. Reef-top sand fields located at Kihei, Maui.




Figure 5. Reef-top sand fields located at Kahului, Maui.




Figure 6. Reef-top sand fields located at Poipu, Kauai.




Figure 7. Reef-top sand fields located at Kikiaola, Kauai.

column obstructs the view of the seafloor.

3. Poipu, Kauai – A total of 581,419 m² of modern reef-top sand was identified along the coast of Poipu (Fig. 6). Of this sand, about half (292,104 m²) is non-ephemeral, (stable) sand. The largest stable sand field is located off of Brennecke Beach and consists of 218,829 m². It is likely a significant resource for beach nourishment. The 2007 mosaic extends into deeper water than the 1975 mosaic. It is likely that the sand field off of Brennecke Beach extends further than the coverage of the 1975 mosaic. Thus, the sand field off

Brennecke Beach may contain a greater area of sand than estimated.

4. Kikiaola, Kauai – A total of 850,592 m² of modern-reef top sand was identified along the coast of Kikiaola (Fig. 7). The majority of this sand (766,461 m²) is non-ephemeral, stable sand. The largest sand field is located off of Kekaha Beach Park and consists of 638,448 m² of stable sand. It is a potential resource for beach nourishment, and should be further investigated. It is possible that this sand field has a greater surface area than estimated. The depth of the water in this area made it difficult to determine where the sand

field ended. Therefore, the digitization performed was a conservative estimation of the size. The second largest sand field is also located off of Kekaha Beach Park and has a surface area of 76,952 m². No significant sand fields were found in the Waimea area. Suspended sediment from Waimea River caused poor water conditions and prevented the identification of sand in this area.

Discussion

Field visits for ground-truthing, to locations not yet visited, would be a beneficial next step in this research. This would help to decrease errors and uncertainties in the data. Surface glint, cloud cover, poor water quality, and depth were a major problem in the imagery. In particular, as the depth of water increased, visibility of the seafloor decreased. This resulted in many areas in the photomosaics where the composition of the seafloor was unclear. In many cases it may have been sand, however there was no way of determining from the image. In these instances, no digitization was performed. Thus, it is very possible that there are stable sand resources in the study areas that were overlooked. This can only be rectified by physically observing the composition in person.

In addition, jet probing, targeting non-ephemeral (stable) sand fields as identified here, is recommended to determine the volume of sand available. Surface area alone is not enough to determine if a sand field contains enough sand to be used as a resource. Jet-probing will determine the depth of the sand field, which is necessary in calculating the volume.

Conclusions

55,821 m² of stable sand is stored on the reef flat off the coast of Kihei, Maui, serving as potential resource for beach replenishment.

31,656 m² of stable sand is stored on the reef flat off of the north shore of Maui.

292,104 m² of stable reef-top sand is stored off the coast of Poipu, Kauai. The majority of this sand is located in a large sand field off of Brennecke Beach.

766,461 m² of stable reef-top sand is stored off the coast of Kikiaola, Kauai. The majority of this sand is located in two large sand fields off of Kekaha Beach Park.

Crucial future directions include field visits and jet probing.

References

Bochicchio, C., Fletcher C., Dyer, M., Smith, T. (2009) Reef-top Sediment Bodies: Windward O'ahu, Hawai'i. *Pacific Science* 63(1):61-82

Fletcher, C.H., Bochicchio, C., Conger, C.L., Engels, M.S., Feirstein, E.J., Frazer, N., Glenn, C.R., Grigg, R.W., Grossman, E.E., Harney, J.N., Isoun, E., Murray-Wallace, C.V., Rooney, J.J., Rubin, K.H., Sherman, C.E.

Vitousek, S. (2008). *Geology of Hawaii Reefs*. In B.M. Riegl & R.E. Dodge (eds.), *Coral Reefs of the USA* (pp. 435-487). Retrieved from <http://www.soest.hawaii.edu/coasts/publications/GeologyofHawaiiReefs.pdf>

Bibliography

1. Barry, J.H., Jr. (1995) Characterization of sand deposits on the insular shelf of southern Oahu, off western Molokai, and on Penguin Bank. SOEST Technical Report 95-02, Hawaii Natural Energy Institute, Marine Minerals Technology Center, Honolulu, HI, 128 p.
2. Bochicchio, C., Fletcher, C.H., Dyer, M., Smith, T. (2009) Reef-top sediment bodies: Windward Oahu, Hawaii. *Pacific Science*, vol. 63, no. 1:61-82.
3. Bodge, K. (2003) Design Aspects of Groins and Jetties. *Advances in Coastal Structure Design*, ASCE, p. 181-199.
4. Bodge, K. (2000) Independent Evaluation Study of the Proposed Kuhio Beach Improvements. Olsen Associates, Inc., Jacksonville, FL.
5. Bodge, K. (1998) Beach Fill Stabilization with Tuned Structures: Experience in the Southeastern U.S.A. and Caribbean. *Proc. Coastlines, Structures, and Breakwaters '98*. Thomas Telford Publishing, London, p. 82-93.
6. Calhoun, R.S., Fletcher, C.H., and Harney, J.N. (2002) A budget of marine and terrigenous sediments, Hanalei Bay, Kauai, Hawaiian Islands. *Sedimentary Geology*, 150, 1-2, 61-87.

7. Calhoun, R.S., and Fletcher, C.H. (1999) Measured and predicted sediment yield from a subtropical, heavy rainfall, steep-sided river basin: Hanalei, Kauai. *Geomorphology*, v. 30, p. 213-226.
8. Calhoun, R.S., Fletcher, C.H. (1996) Late Holocene coastal-plain stratigraphy and sea level history at Hanalei, Kauai, Hawaiian Islands. *Quaternary Research*. 45, p. 47-58.
9. Chamberlain, T. (1968) The littoral sand budget, Hawaiian Islands. *Pacific Science*, v. 22, no. 2, p. 161-183.
10. Chave, K.E., Tait, R.J. , Stimson, J.S., and Chave, E.H. (1973). Waikiki Beach Erosion Project: Marine Environment Study. Hawaii Institute of Geophysics, University of Hawaii, Report No. HIG-73-12. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
11. Conger, C.L., Fletcher, C.H., Hochberg, E.J., Frazer, N., Rooney, J.J., (in press). Remote sensing of sand distribution patterns across an insular shelf: Oahu, Hawaii. *Marine Geology*.
12. Conger, Christopher L., Eric J. Hochberg, Charles H. Fletcher, III, and Marlin J. Atkinson (2006) A new method of decorrelating remote sensing color bands from bathymetry in optically shallow waters. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 44(6): 1655-1660.
13. Conger, C.L., Fletcher, C.H., Barbee, M., (2005) Artificial neural network classification of sand in all visible submarine and subaerial regions of a digital image. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 21.6, p. 1173-1177.
14. Coulbourn, W.T., Campbell, J.F., and Moberly, R., Jr., 1974, Hawaiian submarine terraces, canyons, and Quaternary history evaluated by seismic-reflection profiling. *Marine Geology*, v. 17, p. 215-234.
15. Coulbourn, W.T., Campbell, J.F., Anderson, P.N., Daugherty, P.M., Greenberg, V.A., Izuka, S.K., Lauritzen, R.A., Tsutsui, B.O., and Yan, C. (1988) Sand deposits offshore Oahu, Hawaii. *Pacific Science*, v. 42, p. 267-299.
16. Cox, D. C. (1978) Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program technical supplement 12: Notes on Hawaiian sand beach management. Prepared for the State of Hawaii Department of Planning and Economic Development by the University of Hawaii Pacific Urban Studies and Planning Program.
17. Cruickshank, Michael J. (1991). Analysis of Options and Recommendations for the Recovery, Beneficiation and Transportation of Sand from Selected Off-shore Deposits for Replenishment of Waikiki Beach. Consultants Report. Honolulu HI.
18. Dollar, S.J. (1979) Sand mining in Hawaii: research, restrictions, and choices for the future. Sea Grant Technical Paper, UNIHI-SEAGRANT-TP-79-01, The University of Hawaii Sea Grant Program, Honolulu, HI, 106 p.
19. Engels, M.S., Fletcher, C.H., Field, M., Conger, C.L., Bochicchio, C. (2008) Demise of reef-flat carbonate accumulation with late Holocene sea-level fall: evidence from Molokai, Hawaii. *Coral Reefs*.
20. Ericksen, M.C., Barry, J.H., and Schock, S.G. (1997) Sub-bottom imaging of the Hawaiian shelf. *Sea Technology*, June 1997, p. 89-92.
21. Eversole, D. and Fletcher, C.H. (2003) Longshore sediment transport rates on a reef-fronted beach: Field data and empirical models, Kaanapali Beach, Hawaii: *Journal of Coastal Research*, v. 19, p. 649-663.
22. Eversole, D. 2004. Results from Current Study Research (September 2004) Nearshore Kuhio Beach, Oahu. State of Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. <http://www6.hawaii.gov/dlnr/occl/files/waikiki/results.pdf>
23. Fletcher, C.H. and Sherman, C. (1995) Submerged shorelines on Oahu, Hawaii: Archive of episodic transgression during the deglaciation? *Journal Coastal Research*, Special Issue 17 Holocene Cycles: Climate, Sea Level, and Sediment, p. 141-152.
24. Fletcher, C.H., Murray-Wallace, C., Glenn, C., Popp, B., Sherman, C. (2005) Age and origin of late quaternary eolianite, Kaiehu Point (Moomomi), Molokai, Hawaii. *Journal of Coastal Research*, SI 42, p. 97-112.
25. Fletcher, C.H., Grossman, E.E., Richmond, B.M., Gibbs, A.E. (2002) Atlas of

natural hazards in the Hawaiian coastal zone. U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO, Geologic Investigations Series I-2761, 182p. <http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/i-map/i2761/>

26. Fletcher, C.H., Bochicchio, C., Conger, C.L., Engels, M., Feirstein, E.J., Grossman, Grigg, R., E.E., Harney, J.N., Rooney, J.J., Sherman, C.E., Vitousek, S., Rubin, K., Murray-Wallace, C.V. (2008) Geology of Hawaii Reefs. Chapter 11 in "Coral Reefs of the U.S.A.", Springer, p. 435-488.

27. Fletcher, C.H. and E.J. Feirstein (2009) Hawaii. Chapter 1.16 in The World's Coastal Landforms, Bird, E.C.F. (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

28. Fletcher, C.H. (2009) Sea level by the end of the 21st century: A review. *Shore and Beach*, v. 77, no. 4, pp. 1-9.

29. Gerritsen, F. (1978) Beach and surf parameters in Hawaii. University of Hawaii Sea Grant College Program Technical Report UNIHI-SEAGRANT-TR-78-02.

30. Gibbs, A.E., Richmond, B.M., Fletcher, C.H., and Hillman, K.P., 2001, Hawaii beach monitoring program: beach profile data. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-308, CD. (See also: <http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of01-308>)

31. Hampton, M.A., Fletcher, C.H., Barry, J.H., and Lemmo, S.J. (2002) The Halekulani sand channel and Makua shelf sediment deposits: Are they a sand resource for replenishing Waikiki's beaches? In: Robbins, L.L., Magoon, O.T., and Ewing, L. (eds.), *Carbonate Beaches 2000: First International symposium on Carbonate Sand Beaches*, Reston, VA, American Society of Civil Engineers, p. 67-81.

32. Hampton, M.A., Blay, C.T., Murray, C., Torresan, L.Z., Frazee, C.S., Richmond, B.M., Fletcher, C.H. (2003) DATA REPORT: Geology of Reef-Front Carbonate Sediment Deposits around Oahu, Hawaii, OFR 03-441, U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report. See at: <http://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/reports/ofr03-441.html>

33. Harney, J.N., Hallock-Muller, P., Fletcher, C.H., Resig, J.M., and Richmond, B.M. (1999) Standing crop and sediment production of reef-dwelling foraminifera

on Oahu, Hawaii. *Pacific Science*, v. 53, no. 1, p. 61-73.

34. Harney, J.N., and Fletcher, C.H. (2003) A budget of carbonate framework and sediment production, Kailua Bay, Oahu, Hawaii. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, v. 73, no. 6, p. 856-868.

35. Harney, J.N. and Fletcher, C.H. (2003) Modeling biogenic sediment production on carbonate coasts. *Coastal Sediments '03*.

36. Harney, J.N., Grossman, E.E., Richmond, B.M., and Fletcher, C.H. (2000) Age and composition of carbonate shoreface sediments, Kailua Bay, Oahu, Hawaii: *Coral Reefs*, v. 19, pg. 141-154.

37. Hwang, D.J. and Fletcher, C.H. (June 1992). Beach management plan with beach management districts. Report prepared for Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program, Office of State Planning, and Office of the Governor.

38. Isoun, E., Fletcher, C.H., Frazer, N., and Gradie, J. (2003) Multi-spectral mapping of reef bathymetry and coral cover; Kailua Bay, Hawaii. *Coral Reefs*, v.

22, p. 68-82.39. Marine Advisors, Inc. (1968) Sand survey at Waikiki and the Honolulu Harbor entrance, Oahu. Prepared for the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation, Harbors Division.

40. Miller, T.L. and Fletcher, C.H. (2003) Waikiki: Historical analysis of an engineered shoreline. *Journal of Coastal Research*, v. 19.4, p. 1026-1043.

41. Moberly, R. (1963) Coastal Geology of Hawaii. Hawaii Institute of Geophysics Report No.41. Prepared for Department of Planning and Development, State of Hawaii, under Contract No. 6031.

42. Moberly, R., and Chamberlain, T. (1964) Hawaiian Beach Systems. Hawaii Institute of Geophysics Report HIG-64-2. Prepared for Harbors Division, Department of Transportation, State of Hawaii.

43. Moberley, R. (1968) Loss of Hawaiian littoral sand. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 38, no. 1, p. 17-34.

44. Moberly, R., Campbell, J.F., and Coulbourn, W.T. (1975) Offshore and other sand resources for Hawaii. Sea Grant Technical Paper, UNIHI-SEAGRANT-TR-75-03. The University of Hawaii Sea Grant Program, Honolulu, HI.

45. Noda, Edward K. (1991) Sand Source Investigations for Waikiki Beach Fill, Waikiki Beach Improvement Project. Prepared for State of Hawaii Department of Transportation Harbors Division. Report No. EKN-1201-R-4-1. Honolulu HI.

46. Norcross, Z.M., Fletcher, C.H., and Merrifield, M. (2002) Annual and interannual changes on a reef-fringed pocket beach: Kailua Bay, Hawaii. *Marine Geology* 190, p. 553-580.

47. Norcross, Z., Fletcher, C.H., Rooney, J.J.R., Eversole, D., and Miller, T.L. (2003) Hawaiian beaches dominated by longshore transport. Proceedings, Coastal Sediments '03, Clearwater, Florida, May 18-23, 2003.

48. Sea Engineering, Inc. (1993) Beach Nourishment Viability Study. Prepared for Office of State Planning Coastal Zone Management Program. SEI Report 92-26.

49. Sea Engineering, Inc. (2008) Lanikai Beach Restoration Study Conceptual Design Report. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District.

50. Sea Engineering, Inc. (2008) Waikiki Beach War Memorial Natatorium Honolulu, Hawaii: Shoreline restoration study conceptual design review report. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District.

51. Sea Engineering, Inc. and Precision Signal Inc. (1995) Development of a broadband FM subbottom profiler for seafloor imaging and sediment classification. Prepared for the National Defense Center of Excellence for Research in Ocean Science (CEROS).

52. Stahl, M.S. (1976) Hawaiian sand resources recommendation memo. University of Hawaii Pacific Urban Studies and Planning Program, Coastal Zone Management Program.

53. Stearns, H.T. (1935) Pleistocene shorelines on the islands of Oahu and Maui, Hawaii. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 46, p. 1927-1956.

54. Wiegel, R.L. (2008) Waikiki Beach, Oahu, Hawaii: History of its transformation from a natural to an urban shore. *Shore & Beach*, Vol. 76, No. 2.