# ENGINEERING APPROACHES TO SHORELINE PLACEMENT FROM COAST TO COAST

Comparing the Kings Bay Entrance Channel, Florida and Georgia with the Columbia River, Oregon and Washington







Jase Ousley, P.G. Dredging Contracts Specialist USACE - Portland District 1/18/2018

#### AGENDA

- Columbia River project, Oregon and Washington
- King's Bay project, Florida and Georgia
- General comparisons
- Share some general (and interesting) observations
- Conclusions





Photo: Mark Turney/U.S. Navy



#### DEFINITIONS

**Coastal Engineering** – processes ongoing at the shoreline and construction in the coastal zone often directed at combating erosion of coasts or providing navigation access.

**River Engineering** – design and construction of various structures to improve and/or restore rivers for both human and environmental needs.

Both deal with the interaction of water and sediment

**Regional Sediment Management** – a systems approach to deliberately manage sediments in a manner that maximizes natural and economic efficiencies to contribute to sustainable water resource projects, environments, and communities.






#### COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVERS (C&LW)



- Least cost option
- Minimize return of sediment to the navigation channel
- Maintain engineering function of river structures
- Create habitat/prevent breaching and wash out of marsh

## KINGS BAY ENTRANCE AND INNER CHANNEL (KBEC/KBIC)



- Keep sediment in the active system
- Reduce nourishment intervals of the Federal Shore Protection Project
- Mitigate down drift impacts of the inlet
- Protect historical sites
- Create habitat



## **GENERAL COMPARISONS**

	Columbia River	Kings Bay
Dredging Depth with advanced maintenance	-48 feet	-49 feet
Average Tidal Ranges	6.5 feet (lower river)	8 feet
Average annual dredge volumes	*350,000 to 500,000 cubic yards	50,000 to 400,000 cubic yards
Flow/transport	Bi-modal, predominately down river	Bi-modal in the inlet, Southward from GA to FL

\*per location, C&LW 6-8Mcy/year



## **BERM AND SHORE SLOPES**

**NW:** 20'+ elev, flat berms, 1v:5h foreshore



## SE: 13' elev, 1v:15h berms to 1v:25h foresh





#### **SEDIMENT COMPOSITION**

#### Mean grain sizes range from 0.20 to 0.50 mm

**NW:** volcaniclastics, pumice, quartz and oxides



#### SE: quartz and carbonates





## **CONSTRUCTION METHOD**

NW: Pipeline, Dredge OREGON

**SE:** Pipeline or Hopper with pump-out





Photo: Port of Portland

Photo: Hodgens and Neves, 2015



## **POST-CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS**



**NW:** Dissuasion mounds

**SE:** scarping, tilling, sandboni



## HABITAT CREATION






Photo: Port of Portland




Photo: J. Engle, USACE - SAJ



Photo: Amelia Island e-Magazine

To

## **GENERAL OBSERVATION**

#### West Coast:

- tend to riverine structures pile dikes
- water focused system management East Coast:
- tend to coastal structures groins
- sediment focused system management








#### WATER FOCUSED SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

### Pile Dikes:

- improve alignment
- reduce x-sectional area
- increase velocity in channel
- stabilize sand









Figure 7: Adapted from Robert E. Hickson data, circa 1960.





## NW PILE DIKE ATTACHMENT




i CD

#### **SE CONSIDERATION**

•

- Series of T-head groins and beach fill •
- The shoreline is relatively stable, the depths within ٠ the adjacent inlet growing steeper and threatening the foundation of the groins.
  - Want to deflect water flow away from groin Amelia Island Shoreline (typ Cumberland Island ne (tvp. nberland Island Spit Growth -30 1855 1934 -50 olume below mix = 79 300 s volume below mix = 128 700 1000 hertand Island -20 -30 1875 -40 1979 -50 1000 4000 3000 2000 berland Island Spit Grow -30







### SEDIMENT FOCUSED SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

#### Groins:

- maintain minimum dry beach width
- control the amount of sand • Movement






Photo: southernfriedscience.com



## **SE TERMINAL GROIN**



- Reduce end losses
- Recapture over 150 acres of park
- Reduce winter flooding of the maritime for
- Create shorebird and turtle nesting habita
- Increase recreation



## **NW CONSIDERATION**



- Terminal groin or tighten the dike to become impermeable out some distance
- Force sediment bypassing further into river to prevent shoaling



## CONCLUSION



It is perhaps not intuitive to apply coastal or riverine engineering practices across the disciplines, but...

Fundamental approach:

- Sediment control or water control
- Addressing issues like scouring or shoaling
- Affords us a much larger tool box



#### REFERENCES

Beeman, O. 2011. Columbia River Reflections, A Memoir by Ogden Beeman, The Port of Portland. P.73.

Hickson, R.E., 1961. Columbia River Ship Channel Improvement and Maintenance. Journal of the Waterways and Harbors Division, Amer. Soc. of Civil Eng. p71-93.

Hodges, K. and Neves, M. 2015. Northeast Florida Regional Sediment Management, Implementation Strategies and Recommendations for Nassau County and Duval County, Florida. USACE Jacksonville District. P. 64.

Howard, S.C., and Olsen, E.J., 2004. Cumberland Shoals Dredging Feasibility Study.

University of Delaware, Center for Applied Coastal Research, accessed 6/13/2017: <u>http://www.coastal.udel.edu/coastal/whatis.html</u>

USACE. 2002. Coastal Engineering Manual. EM 1110-2-1100.

